

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/539,220 | HERON ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| TAMTHOM N. TRUONG | 1624 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) TAMTHOM N. TRUONG. (3) _____.

(2) Jacob G. Weintraub. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2008

Time: ~1 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Weintraub authorized the amendment to claim 12, which adds the phrase -- A compound, which is -- to the claim.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tamthom N. Truong/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)